

Ontario Geological Survey
Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 26

**AGGREGATE RESOURCES INVENTORY OF
FENELON TOWNSHIP
VICTORIA COUNTY
SOUTHERN ONTARIO**

by

Staff of the Engineering and Terrain Geology Section

Ontario Geological Survey

1980



**Ministry of
Natural
Resources**

**Hon. James A.C. Auld
Minister**

**Dr. J. K. Reynolds
Deputy Minister**

Publications of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Geological Branch,
and price list
are obtainable through the
Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Map Unit, Public Service Centre,
Queen's Park, Toronto, Ontario
and
The Ontario Government Bookstore
880 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario

Orders for publications should be accompanied by cheque
or money order, payable to the Treasurer of Ontario

ISSN 0708-2061
ISBN 0-7743-4889-5

This report was prepared by: Staff of the Engineering and Terrain Geology Section of
the Ontario Geological Survey, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 77 Grenville St.,
Toronto, M5S 1B3, Telephone (416) 965-1182.

Project Supervisor: Dale W. Scott
Text Prepared by: J.Z. Fraser
Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office

The Mineral Resources Staff of Lindsay District, Central Region of the Ministry of
Natural Resources assisted in the collection of data, field checking and review of this
report.

Parts of this publication may be quoted if credit is given to the Ontario Ministry of
Natural Resources, Ontario Geological Survey. It is recommended that reference to this
report be made in the following form:

Ontario Geological Survey
1980: Aggregate Resources Inventory of Fenelon Township, Victoria County;
Ontario Geological Survey, Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 26, 33p., 6
tables, 3 maps, scale 1:50 000.

Every possible effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this
report, but the Ministry of Natural Resources does not assume any liability for errors that
may occur. Source references are included in the report and users may wish to verify
critical information.

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	v
Introduction	1
Part I - Inventory Methods	2
Field and Office Methods	2
Resource Tonnage Calculation Techniques	2
Sand and Gravel Resources	2
Bedrock Resources	3
Units and Definitions	3
Part II - Data Presentation and Interpretation	4
Map 1 Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits	4
Map 2 Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas	4
Site Specific Criteria	5
Deposit Size	5
Aggregate Quality	5
Location and Setting	6
Regional Considerations	6
Map 3 Bedrock Resources	7
Selection Criteria	7
Selected Resource Areas	7
Part III - Assessment of Aggregate Resources in Fenelon Township	9
Location and Population	9
Physiography and Surficial Geology	9
Extractive Activity	10
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas	10
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 1	10
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 2a and 2b	11
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 3 and 4	11
Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 5	11
Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of Secondary Significance	12
Bedrock Geology	12
Selected Bedrock Resource Areas	13
Selected Bedrock Resource Areas 1a and 1b	13
Summary	13
References	22
Appendix A Suggested Additional Reading	23
Appendix B Glossary	24
Appendix C Geology of Sand and Gravel Deposits	27
Appendix D Geology of Bedrock Deposits	30

TABLES

1 - Total Sand and Gravel Resources, Fenelon Township	14
2 - Sand and Gravel Pits, Fenelon Township	16
3 - Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas, Fenelon Township	18
4 - Total Identified Bedrock Resources, Fenelon Township	19
5 - Quarries, Fenelon Township	20
6 - Selected Bedrock Resource Areas, Fenelon Township	21

FIGURES

1 - Key Map Showing Location of Fenelon Township	v
2 - Bedrock Geology of Southern Ontario	30

MAPS (back pocket)

- 1 - Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits,
Fenelon Township, Scale 1:50 000.
- 2 - Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas,
Fenelon Township, Scale 1:50 000.
- 3 - Bedrock Resources, Fenelon Township,
Scale 1:50 000.



ABSTRACT



Figure 1 - Key Map Showing Location of Fenelon Township. Scale 1:1 800 000.

An inventory and evaluation of aggregate resources, which include crushed stone derived from bedrock as well as natural sand and gravel, were completed for Fenelon Township in 1980. This report is part of the Aggregate Resources Inventory Program for townships and municipalities designated under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971. The aggregate resources in the township have been assessed according to standards developed for the program.

In Fenelon Township, five areas containing significant amounts of sand and gravel have been selected for possible resource protection. Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas comprise 2030 acres (820 ha), exclusive of licenced areas. An estimated 1810 acres (730 ha) are currently available for extraction, containing possible resources of 88 million tons (80 million tonnes). The portions of the Selected Areas available for extraction represent 20 percent of the total area occupied by sand and gravel deposits in the township and 30 percent of the total resource tonnage.

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 1 is an esker deposit in the central portion of the township. Area 1 is the most important natural aggregate deposit in Fenelon Township. The deposit contains an estimated 6 million tons (5 million tonnes) of sand and crushable gravel suitable for a range of road-building and construction products.

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 2a and 2b are esker deposits in the north-central part of the township. They contain resources estimated to be 7 million tons (6 million tonnes) of sand and gravel suitable for a range of products.

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 3 and 4 are kame and esker-kame deposits flanking Resource Area 1. Resource Area 3 has available sand and gravel resources of 33 million tons (30 million tonnes) while Resource Area 4 has available resources of 28 million tons (25 million tonnes). The material is suitable for hot-laid asphaltic sand and pit-run granular base course.

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 5 is an esker and ice-contact complex located at the eastern boundary of the township. Possible sand and gravel resources are estimated to be 14 million tons (13 million tonnes). The material is acceptable for a range of products.

Fenelon Township has possible sand and gravel resources which are important both for local and regional purposes. Care should be taken to ensure the continuing availability of as much of the remaining resources as possible.

Bedrock of the Bobcaygeon, Verulam and Lindsay Formations underlie Fenelon Township. The Bobcaygeon Formation is the most acceptable source of crushed stone for road-building and construction products. Possible resources in one Selected Bedrock Resource Area are 530 million tons (480 million tonnes).

Selected Resource Areas are not intended to be permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major resource is known to exist. Such Resource Areas may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.



AGGREGATE RESOURCES INVENTORY OF FENELON TOWNSHIP

BY
STAFF OF THE ENGINEERING
AND TERRAIN GEOLOGY SECTION

INTRODUCTION

Mineral aggregates, which include bedrock-derived crushed stone as well as naturally formed sand and gravel, constitute the major raw material in Ontario's road-building and construction industries. Very large amounts of these materials are used each year throughout the Province. For example, in 1976, the total tonnage of mineral aggregates extracted was greater than that of any other metallic or nonmetallic commodity mined in the Province (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources 1977).

Although mineral aggregate deposits are plentiful in southern Ontario, they are fixed-location, nonrenewable resources which can be exploited only in those areas where they occur. Mineral aggregates are characterized by their high bulk and low unit value so that the economic value of a deposit is a function of its proximity to a market area as well as its quality and size. The potential for extractive development is usually greatest in urban fringe areas where land use competition is extreme. For these reasons the availability of adequate resources for future development is now being threatened in some areas.

Comprehensive planning and resource management strategies are required to make the best use

of available resources, especially in those areas experiencing rapid development. Such strategies must be based on a sound knowledge of the total mineral aggregate resource base at both local and regional levels. The purpose of the Aggregate Resources Inventory is to provide the basic geological information required to include potential mineral aggregate resource areas in planning strategies and official plans. The reports should form the basis for discussion on those areas best suited for possible extraction. The aim is to assist decision-makers in protecting the public well-being by ensuring that adequate resources of mineral aggregate remain available for future use.

This report is a technical background document, based for the most part on geological information and interpretation. It has been designed as a component of the total planning process and should be used in conjunction with other planning considerations, to ensure the best use of a municipality's resources.

The report includes an assessment of sand, gravel and crushed bedrock. The most recent information available has been used to prepare the reports. As new information becomes available, revisions may be necessary.

¹ Manuscript accepted for publication by Chief, Engineering and Terrain Geology Section, May 23, 1980.
This paper is published with the permission of E.G. Pye, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.

PART I - INVENTORY METHODS

FIELD AND OFFICE METHODS

The methods used to prepare the report primarily involve the interpretation of published geological data such as bedrock and surficial geology maps and reports (see References). Wherever possible, field examination of potential resource areas was also undertaken to confirm interpretations made in the office. Field methods included the examination of natural and man-made exposures of granular material. Most observations were made at quarries and sand and gravel pits located from records held by the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications, the Ontario Geological Survey, and by Regional and District Offices of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Observations made at pit sites included estimates of the total face height and the proportion of gravel- and sand-size fragments in the deposit. Observations were also made of the shape and lithology of the particles. These characteristics are important in estimating the quality and quantity of the aggregate. In areas of limited exposure, test pitting, soil probing and hand-augering techniques were used to assess subsurface materials. Air photos at various scales were used to determine the continuity of deposits, especially in areas of limited subsurface information.

In the office, the pit data were supplemented by information on file with the Engineering Materials Office of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications. Data contained in these files include field estimates of the depth, composition and "workability" of deposits as well as laboratory analyses of the physical properties and chemical suitability of the aggregate. Information concerning the development history of the pits and acceptable uses of the aggregate is also recorded. The location, size, and depth of extraction of pits licenced under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 were obtained from records held by Regional and District Offices of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. The cooperation of the above named groups in the compilation of inventory data is gratefully acknowledged.

Water well records, held by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, were used in some

areas to corroborate thickness estimates, or to indicate the presence of buried granular material. These records were used only in conjunction with other evidence. Topographic maps of the National Topographic System, at a scale of 1:50 000, were used as a compilation base for the field and office data. The information was then transferred to a township base map, also at a scale of 1:50 000, prepared by the Cartography Section of the Lands and Waters Group, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, for presentation in the report.

RESOURCE TONNAGE CALCULATION TECHNIQUES

SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES

Once the interpretative boundaries of the aggregate units have been drawn, quantitative estimates of the possible resources available can be made. Generally, the volume of a deposit can be calculated if its areal extent and average thickness are known or can be estimated. The computation methods used are as follows: First, the area of the deposit, as outlined on the final base map, is calculated in acres. The thickness values used are an approximation of the deposit thickness, based on the face heights of pits developed in the deposit or on subsurface data such as water well logs. Original tonnage values can then be calculated by multiplying the volume of the deposit by 2500 (the density factor). This factor is approximately the number of tons in a one-foot thick layer of sand and gravel, one acre in extent, assuming an average density of 110 pounds per cubic foot.

$$\text{Tonnage} = \text{Area} \times \text{Thickness} \times \text{Density Factor}$$

Tonnage calculated in this manner must be considered only as an estimate. Furthermore, such tonnages represent amounts that existed prior to any extraction of material (i.e. original tonnage) (Table 1, Column 4).

The Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas in Table 3 represent only those parts of the deposit lying outside licenced areas (Column 2).

Two successive subtractions are made from the unlicensed area. Column 3 accounts for the number of acres unavailable due to the presence of permanent cultural features and their associated setback requirements. Column 4 accounts for those areas that have previously been extracted (e.g. wayside pits are included in this category). The remaining figure is the area of the deposit currently available for extraction (Column 5). The available area is then multiplied by the estimated deposit thickness and the density factor (Column 5 x Column 6 x 2500) to give an estimate of the sand and gravel tonnage presently available for extractive development and/or resource protection.

BEDROCK RESOURCES

The method used to calculate resources of bedrock-derived aggregate is much the same as that described above. The areal extent of favourable bedrock formations overlain by less than 50 feet (15 m) of unconsolidated overburden is determined from bedrock geology maps, drift thickness and bedrock topography maps and from the interpretation of water well records. The measured extent of such areas is then multiplied by the estimated workable thickness of the formation, based on stratigraphic analyses and on estimates of existing quarry faces in the unit. In some cases a standardized estimate of a workable thickness of 60 feet (18 m) is used. Volume estimates are then multiplied by 3600 (the estimated weight in tons of a one-foot thick section of dolostone, one acre in extent, assuming a bulk density of 165 pounds per cubic foot).

Resources of sandstone are calculated using a bulk density estimate of 146 pounds per cubic foot or approximately 3200 tons per acre. Shale resources are calculated on the basis of a bulk density estimate of 150 pounds per cubic foot.

UNITS AND DEFINITIONS

Although most of the measurements and other primary data available for resource tonnage calculations are given in Imperial units, Metric units have also been given in the text and on the tables which accompany the report. The Metric equivalent of the data is shown in brackets after or directly below the corresponding Imperial figures. Data are generally rounded off in accordance with the Ontario Metric Practice Guide (Metric Committee 1975).

The tonnage estimates made for sand and gravel as well as bedrock-derived aggregates are termed possible resources in accordance with terminology of the Ontario Resource Classification Scheme (Robertson 1975,p.7) and with the Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario (1976) (see Glossary, Appendix B).


PART II - DATA PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION

Three maps, each portraying a different aspect of the aggregate resources in the municipality, accompany the report. Map 1, "Distribution of Sand and Gravel Deposits", gives a comprehensive inventory of the sand and gravel resources in the report area. Map 2, "Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas", shows those deposits which are considered to represent the largest and/or highest quality resources in the area. Map 3, "Bedrock Resources", shows the distribution of bedrock formations, the distribution of overlying unconsolidated sediments, and identifies the Selected Bedrock Resource Areas.

MAP 1: DISTRIBUTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL DEPOSITS

Map 1 is derived directly from the existing surficial geology maps of the area or from air photo interpretation where surficial mapping is incomplete. It shows the extent of sand and gravel deposits within the study area and serves as a base for the calculation of the total sand and gravel resources.

Map 1 presents a summary of all available information related to the quantity and quality of aggregate contained in all the known aggregate deposits in the study area. Much of this information is contained in the symbol which identifies each deposit. The Deposit Symbol is similar to those used in soil mapping and land classification systems commonly in use in North America. The components of the symbol indicate the gravel content, thickness of material, origin (type), and quality limitations for a given deposit. These components are illustrated by the following example:



This symbol identifies an outwash deposit 10 to 20 feet (3 to 6 m) thick containing more than 35 percent gravel. Excess fines may limit uses of the aggregate in the deposit.

The "gravel content" and "thickness class"

are basic criteria for distinguishing different deposits. The "gravel content" symbol is an upper case "S" or "G". The "S" indicates that the deposit is generally "sandy" and that gravel-sized aggregate makes up less than 35 percent of the whole deposit. "G" indicates that the aggregate probably contains more than 35 percent gravel. If no information is available, the letters are omitted.

The "thickness class" indicates a depth range which is related to the potential resource tonnage for each deposit. Four thickness class divisions have been established as shown in the legend for Map 1.

Two smaller sets of letters, divided from each other by a horizontal line, follow the thickness class number. The upper series of letters identify the geologic deposit type (the types are summarized with respect to their main geologic and extractive characteristics in Appendix C) and the lower series of letters identify the main quality limitations that may be present in the deposit as discussed in the next section.

The other information presented on Map 1 is designed to give an indication of the present level of extractive activity in the study area. Those areas which are licenced for extraction under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 are shown by a solid outline and identified by a number which refers to the pit descriptions in Table 2. Each description notes the owner, location and licenced acreage of the pit, as well as the estimated face height and percentage gravel. A number of unlicensed pits are also identified and numbered on Map 1 and described in Table 2.

MAP 2: SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS

Map 2 is an interpretative map derived from an evaluation of the deposits shown on Map 1. The deposits identified on Map 2 are those which are considered to be important in ensuring an adequate resource base for the future.

All the selected sand and gravel resource areas are first delineated by geological boundaries and then classified into three levels of significance: primary, secondary, and tertiary. These areas are identified on Map 2 by different shading patterns.

Each area of primary significance is assessed as to its probable relative value as a resource in the municipality and is given a deposit number which denotes its ranking order. All such deposits are shown by a medium-grey tone on Map 2.

Selected Aggregate Resource Areas of primary significance are not permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major resource is known to exist. Such resource areas may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

Deposits of secondary significance are not ranked numerically in this report, but are indicated by a light grey tone on Map 2. Such deposits are believed to contain significant amounts of sand and gravel. Although deposits of secondary significance are not considered to be the "best" resource areas in a municipality, they may contain large quantities of sand and gravel and should be considered an integral component of the aggregate supply of the municipality.

Areas of tertiary significance are indicated on the map by a dashed line but have no grey tone. They are neither rated nor considered to be important resource areas because of their low available resources, or because of possible difficulties in extraction. Such areas may be useful for local needs but are unlikely to support large-scale development.

The process by which deposits are evaluated and selected involves the consideration of two sets of criteria. The main selection criteria are site specific, related to the characteristics of individual deposits. Factors such as deposit size, aggregate quality, and deposit location and setting are considered in the selection of those deposits best suited for extractive development. A second set of criteria involves the assessment of local aggregate resources in relation to the quality, quantity, and distribution of resources in the region in which the municipality is located. The intent of such a process of evaluation is to ensure the continuing availability of sufficient resources to meet possible future demands.

SITE SPECIFIC CRITERIA

DEPOSIT SIZE

Ideally, selected deposits should contain available sand and gravel resources large enough to support a commercial pit operation using a stationary or portable processing plant. In practice, much smaller deposits may be of significant value depending on the overall reserves in the rest of the municipality. Generally, deposits in Class 1, i.e. those thicker than 20 feet (6m) and containing more than 35 percent crushable gravel are considered to be most favourable for commercial development. Thinner deposits may be valuable in municipalities with low total resources.

AGGREGATE QUALITY

The limitations of natural aggregates for various uses result from variations in the lithology of the particles composing the deposit, and from variations in the size distribution of these particles.

Four indicators of the quality of aggregate may be included in the symbol for each deposit on Map 1. They are: gravel content (G or S), fines (C), oversize (O) and lithology (L).

Three of the indicators deal with grain size distribution. The "gravel content", (G or S), indicates the suitability of aggregate for various uses. Deposits containing more than 35 percent crushable gravel are considered to be favourable extractive sites, since this content is the minimum from which crushed products can be economically produced.

Excess "fines" or high silt and clay content may severely limit the potential use of an aggregate. Fines content in excess of 10 percent may impede drainage in road sub-base aggregate and render it more susceptible to the effects of frost action. In asphalt aggregate, excess fines hinder the bonding of particles. Deposits known to have a high fines content are indicated by a "C" in the quality portion of the Deposit Symbol.

Deposits containing more than 20 percent "oversize" particles (those greater than 4 inches (10 cm) in diameter) may also have use limitations. The oversize component is unacceptable for all concrete aggregate and for road-building

aggregate, so must be either crushed or removed during processing. Deposits known to have an appreciable oversize component are indicated by an "O" in the quality portion of the Deposit Symbol.

The other indicator of the quality of an aggregate is "lithology". Just as the unique physical and chemical properties of bedrock formations determine their value for use as crushed rock, so do various lithologies of particles in a sand and gravel deposit determine its suitability for various uses. The presence of objectionable lithologies such as chert, siltstone, and shale, even in relatively small amounts, can result in a reduction in the quality of an aggregate, especially for high-quality uses. Deposits known to contain objectionable lithologies are indicated by an "L" in the quality component of the Deposit Symbol.

If the Deposit Symbol indicates either "C", "O" or "L" or any combination, the quality of the deposit is considered to be reduced for some uses of the aggregate. No attempt has been made to quantify the degree of limitation imposed. Assessment of the four indicators is made from published data, from data contained in files of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications and the Engineering and Terrain Geology Section of the Ontario Geological Survey, and from field observations. The Engineering Materials Office of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications has recently compiled a detailed assessment of aggregate suitability for selected areas in southern Ontario. This material has been consulted extensively in preparation of the inventory reports.

LOCATION AND SETTING

The location and setting of a resource area has a direct influence on its value for possible extraction. The evaluation of a deposit's setting is made on the basis of those natural and man-made features which may limit or prohibit extractive development.

First, the physical context of the deposit is considered. Deposits with some physical constraint on extractive development, such as thick overburden or high water table, are less valuable resource areas because of the difficulties involved in resource recovery. Second, permanent man-

made features, such as roads, railways, powerlines, and housing developments, which are built on a deposit, may prohibit its extraction. The constraining effect of legally required setbacks surrounding such features is included in the evaluation. A quantitative assessment of these constraints can be made by measurement of their areal extent directly from the topographic maps. The area rendered unavailable by these features is shown for each resource area in Table 3.

The assessment of sand and gravel deposits and bedrock resource areas with respect to local land use and to private land ownership is an important component of the general evaluation process. These aspects of the evaluation process are not considered further in this report but readers are encouraged to discuss them with personnel of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources' District Office.

REGIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

In selecting sufficient areas for resource development, it is important to assess both the local and the regional resource base, and to forecast future production and demand patterns.

Some appreciation of future aggregate requirements in an area may be gained by assessing its present production levels and by forecasting future production trends. Such an approach is based on the assumptions that production levels in an area closely reflect the demand and that the present production "market share" of an area will remain at roughly the same level.

The aggregate resources in the region surrounding a municipality should be assessed in order to properly evaluate specific resource areas and to adopt optimum resource management plans. For example, a municipality that has large resources in comparison to its surrounding region constitutes a regionally significant resource area. Municipalities with high resources in proximity to large demand centres, such as metropolitan areas, are special cases.

Although an appreciation of the regional context is required to develop comprehensive resource management techniques, such detailed evaluation is beyond the scope of this report. The selection of resource areas made in this study is based primarily on geological data

or on considerations outlined in preceding sections.

MAP 3: BEDROCK RESOURCES

Map 3 is an interpretative map derived from bedrock geology, bedrock topography, drift thickness maps, water well data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, oil and gas well data from the Petroleum Resources Section (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources), and from geotechnical well data from various sources. Map 3 is based on concepts similar to those outlined for Maps 1 and 2, but displays both the inventory and evaluation on the one map.

The geological boundaries of the labelled bedrock units are shown by a dashed line. Isolated outcrops are indicated by an "X". Three sets of contour lines delineate areas of less than 3 feet (1 m) of drift, areas of 3 to 25 feet (1 to 8 m) of drift, and areas of 25 to 50 feet (8 to 15 m) of drift. The extent of the areas of thin drift are shown by three tones. The darkest tone indicates areas where bedrock outcrops or is within 3 feet (1 m) of the ground surface. These areas constitute potential resource areas of primary significance due to their easy access. The medium tone indicates areas where drift cover is up to 25 feet (8 m) thick. Quarrying is possible in this depth of overburden and these also represent potential resource areas. The lightest tone indicates bedrock areas overlain by 25 to 50 feet (8 to 15 m) of overburden. These latter areas constitute resources which have extractive value only in specific circumstances. Outside of these delineated areas the bedrock can be assumed to be covered by more than 50 feet (15 m) of overburden, a depth generally considered to be too great to allow economic extraction (unless part of the overburden is composed of economically attractive sand and gravel deposits).

Other inventory information presented on Map 3 is designed to give an indication of the present level of extractive activity in the municipality. Those areas which are licenced for extraction under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971 are shown by a solid outline and identified by a number which refers to the quarry descriptions in Table 5. Each description notes the owner, location, and licenced acreage of the quarry and an estimate of face height. Unlicenced quarries are also identified and

numbered on Map 3 and described in Table 5. One additional symbol appears on the map: an open dot indicates the location of a selected well which penetrates bedrock. The overburden thickness is shown in feet beside the open dot.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Criteria equivalent to those used for sand and gravel deposits are used to select bedrock areas most favourable for extractive development.

The evaluation of bedrock resources is made primarily on the basis of performance and suitability data established by laboratory testing at the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications. The main characteristics and uses of the bedrock formations found in southern Ontario are summarized in Appendix D.

Deposit "size" is related directly to the areal extent of thin drift cover overlying favourable bedrock formations of sufficient thickness to support quarry operations. Since vertical and lateral variations in bedrock units are much more gradual than in sand and gravel deposits, the quality and quantity of the resource is usually consistent over large areas.

Quality of the aggregate derived from specific bedrock units is established by the performance standards previously mentioned. Location and setting criteria and regional considerations are identical to those for sand and gravel deposits.

SELECTED RESOURCE AREAS

Selection of bedrock resource areas has been restricted to a single level of significance. Three factors support this approach. Quality and quantity variations are gradual. The areal extent of a given quarry operation is much smaller than that of a sand and gravel pit producing an equivalent tonnage of material. Since crushed bedrock has a higher unit value than sand and gravel, longer haul distances can be considered. These factors allow the identification of alternative sites having similar development potential. The wider range of possible resource areas allows greater flexibility in locating quarry operations away from areas of intensive land use competition. The Selected Areas are shown on Map 3 by a line pattern and the calculated available tonnages are given in Table 6.

Selected Bedrock Resource Areas shown on Map 3 are not permanent, single land use units which must be incorporated in an official planning document. They represent areas in which a major bedrock resource is known to

exist. Such a resource area may be reserved wholly or partially for extractive development and/or resource protection within the context of the official plan.

PART III - ASSESSMENT OF AGGREGATE RESOURCES IN FENELON TOWNSHIP

LOCATION AND POPULATION

Fenelon Township occupies an area of 54,995 acres (22 256 ha) in central Victoria County. It is bounded by Bexley, Verulam, Ops, Eldon and Mariposa Townships on the north, east, south and west, respectively. Sturgeon, Cameron and Balsam Lakes occupy much of the eastern and northern portions of the township. Fenelon Township is shown on portions of the Fenelon Falls (31 D/10) and Lindsay (31 D/7) map sheets of the National Topographic System, at a scale of 1:50 000.

The Villages of Fenelon Falls (Pop. 1627) and Sturgeon Point (Pop. 45) are the only incorporated settlements in the township (Ontario Ministry of Intergovernmental Affairs 1979). Figures from previous years indicate that the villages have experienced relatively low growth (Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974, 1977). Several unincorporated settlements are found along the lakeshores and are primarily recreational centres serving both the seasonal and permanent population. These settlements include Pleasant Point, Long Beach and Rosedale. Other small centres include Cameron, Cambray and Powles Corners.

The population of the township (exclusive of Fenelon Falls and Sturgeon Point) was 4247 in 1978. Figures from previous years indicate that the population has increased by approximately 40 percent since 1973 (Ontario Ministry of Intergovernmental Affairs 1979; Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs 1974). Much of this rapid growth has been due to the increase in recreational residences in small unincorporated settlements and along the lakeshores. Similar growth patterns may be expected in the near future producing an increased demand for sand and gravel supplies for road building and construction.

The existing road network in the township provides good access to most of the area except for parts of the northern section. Gravel-surfaced township roads, together with paved county roads and King's Highways 35 and 121, form a

grid pattern across the area. A Canadian National Railway line traverses the eastern portion of the township.

PHYSIOGRAPHY AND SURFICIAL GEOLOGY

The physiography and distribution of unconsolidated sediments, including sand and gravel deposits, are the result of glacial activity which took place during the Late Wisconsinan Substage of the Pleistocene Epoch. This period of time, which lasted from approximately 23 000 to 10 000 years ago, was marked by the repeated advance and melting back of massive, continental ice sheets.

The ice advanced to the southwest and deposited large amounts of till to form an extensive till plain throughout southern and central Victoria County. In places, the till was moulded by the glacial ice into streamlined, elongated hills called drumlins. Several of these are found in the central portion of the township. The till plain and associated drumlins form part of the Peterborough Drumlin Field (Chapman and Putnam 1966, p. 280). The till which makes up the drumlins and the till plain is composed of silt, sand and pebbles. It is of little value as an aggregate resource because of its fine texture. Some drumlins contain small amounts of stratified sand and gravel, and have been worked for their materials on a small scale elsewhere in Victoria and Peterborough Counties (Deane 1950; Gravenor 1957). However, aggregate derived from drumlins is of very minor importance in Fenelon Township.

The deglaciation of Victoria County, north of the Town of Lindsay, occurred by the melting in place (or "stagnation") of the ice mass. In many places, meltwaters flowing in tunnels or in crevasses in the ice deposited masses of sand and gravel along their course. These deposits form characteristic stagnation features: large, indistinct masses of sand and gravel known as kames; and long, sinuous ridges known as eskers. The eskers are found throughout northern Victoria County. For the most part, they are made up of

gravel and have been a traditional source of road-building and construction aggregate throughout the region. Most of the gravel resources in Fenelon Township are found in an esker-kame complex in the central portion of the township, south of Cameron Lake.

Postglacial erosional and depositional processes have been of minor importance in modifying the physiography of Fenelon Township. Poor drainage in several linear depressions in the central part of the township has led to the development of extensive marshes. These areas are associated with thin drift cover over the bedrock surface near Goose Bay and in the valleys of Pearn and Martin Creeks.

EXTRACTIVE ACTIVITY

Numerous pits have been opened in Fenelon Township. The majority are located in the esker and kame deposits in the centre of the township, south of Cameron Lake. Many of the pits have become inactive since the designation of the township in 1975 under The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971. At the time of writing, fifteen properties were licenced to operate. The total area licenced for extraction is 1401.1 acres (567 ha). The remaining sand and gravel resources in the licenced properties are estimated to be approximately 50 million tons (46 million tonnes).

Records of the total annual production of sand and gravel from all licenced sources have been maintained by the Lindsay District Office since the designation of Fenelon Township. The average annual production over the last three years (from 1976 to 1978) has been 0.6 million tons (0.5 million tonnes). Future production is expected to equal or exceed present levels.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS

The sand and gravel deposits selected for possible resource protection in Fenelon Township are shown on Map 2. Several esker, kame, and ice-contact deposits located in the central and eastern portions of the township have been selected as Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of

Primary Significance. These deposits occupy a total available area of 1810 acres (730 ha). They contain possible sand and gravel resources of approximately 88 million tons (80 million tonnes). The Selected Resource Areas occupy 20 percent of the total area of sand and gravel deposits in Fenelon Township, and represent 30 percent of the total resource tonnage. The Selected Areas contain much of the township's possible resources of crushable aggregate.

Smaller ice-contact stratified drift and esker-kame deposits, scattered throughout the township, have been selected as Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of Secondary Significance. Although these deposits are not the "best" resources, they should be considered an integral component of the township's aggregate supply. These deposits may provide alternate sites for extractive developments, especially for local uses.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 1

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 1 is an esker deposit that trends to the southwest through the centre of the township from the south end of Cameron Lake. The deposit consists of several segments, two of which are single ridges approximately 50 feet (15 m) high and 1.5 miles (2.4 km) long. The third segment is considerably smaller. Each segment has kame sand and gravel deposits developed on its flanks. Two of these deposits have also been selected as Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of Primary Significance (Selected Resource Areas 3 and 4).

Several pits have been opened in Selected Area 1 and all of these sources are presently licenced for extraction. Faces in the pits range in height from 10 to 25 feet (3 to 8 m), and expose poorly to moderately sorted, medium to coarse gravel and sand. The aggregate from these pits has been used for Granular Base Course A, B and C, as well as several grades of hot-laid asphaltic sand and stone. In places the gravel is quite coarse and processing is required to remove the oversize material. While the sand fraction of the aggregate is occasionally coarse, for the most part, it is too "dirty" to be used for hot-laid asphaltic sand. Consequently, some blending is required for this use. Several of the pits are "approved commercial sources" according to

criteria established by the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications, and are capable of providing a range of aggregate products of uniformly high quality.

The esker segments that make up Resource Area 1 have a total area of 176 acres (71 ha), exclusive of licenced areas. Cultural setbacks reduce the area currently available for extraction to 126 acres (51 ha). Assuming an average deposit thickness of 20 feet (6 m), available sand and gravel resources are estimated to be 6 million tons (5 million tonnes).

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS 2a AND 2b

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 2a and 2b consist of two esker deposits which trend to the southwest in the north-central portion of the township, between South Bay and Cameron Lake. The deposits are similar in topography and relief to the esker deposits that compose Resource Area 1. Two licenced pits have been developed in the Resource Areas. Faces in the pits expose 10 to 35 feet (3 to 11 m) of poorly sorted coarse gravel and sand similar to the material found in Resource Area 1. The aggregate from Resource Areas 2a and 2b has been used for a variety of road-building and construction products. Oversize material, however, must be removed or processed. In some places the sand fraction may be either too coarse or too fine for some grades of hot-laid asphaltic sand.

Resource Areas 2a and 2b total 223 acres (90 ha), exclusive of licenced areas. Cultural constraints reduce the available area of the Resource Areas to 183 acres (74 ha). Assuming average thicknesses of 20 feet (6 m) and 15 feet (5 m), respectively, available sand and gravel resources are estimated to total 7 million tons (6 million tonnes).

The Resource Areas are accessible by road, and together with Resource Area 1, constitute a regionally valuable source of crushable aggregate, especially for the Town of Lindsay to the south and Eldon Township to the west.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS 3 AND 4

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Areas 3

and 4 are esker-kame and kame deposits that flank the esker deposits of Resource Area 1. Resource Areas 3 and 4 are described together since they display a similar texture. The two Resource Areas have irregular, hummocky topography characteristic of kame deposits. Local relief is as much as 150 feet (46 m) at Zion in Resource Area 4.

Numerous pits have been opened in the two Resource Areas; all are presently licenced for extraction. Faces in the pits range in height from 20 to 60 feet (6 to 18 m) and expose poorly to well sorted, irregularly stratified sand and gravel. Gravel is found predominantly in isolated pockets and lenses within the sandy sediment. Although no quality data are available for the deposits, it is likely that selection and sand control would be required for crushing. Hot-laid asphaltic sand and pit-run granular base course may be the most readily produced aggregates from these deposits.

Selected Resource Area 3 occupies 520 acres (210 ha), exclusive of licenced areas. Cultural restraints reduce the area available for extraction to 440 acres (178 ha). Assuming an average deposit thickness of 30 feet (9 m), presently available sand and gravel resources are estimated to be 33 million tons (30 million tonnes).

Selected Resource Area 4 comprises 720 acres (290 ha), exclusive of licenced areas. After considering cultural constraints, Resource Area 4 is reduced to 690 acres (280 ha) available for extraction. Assuming an average deposit thickness of 16 feet (5 m), sand and gravel resources are approximately 28 million tons (25 million tonnes).

Both Resource Areas are well situated in the central portion of the township, with respect to both road access and to local demand centres.

SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREA 5

Selected Sand and Gravel Resource Area 5 is an esker and ice-contact complex located at the eastern boundary of the township, north of Fenelon Falls. The deposit extends to the east into Verulam Township where it has also been selected for possible resource protection at the primary level. It consists of a central esker ridge and the flanking ice-contact deposit. The

material is quite similar in morphology to the esker deposits in the central portion of the township.

Extraction has taken place in one locality in the Area in Fenelon Township and a number of pits have been opened in those portions of the deposit in Verulam Township. These pits are all presently inactive, but expose 10 to over 50 feet (3 to over 15 m) of poorly to moderately sorted sand and medium to coarse gravel. The material has been used for crushing and is acceptable for a range of products including Granular Base Course A, B and C. The stone quality is too poor for higher specification uses such as hot-laid asphaltic stone. The gravel is occasionally too coarse for some crushed products and oversize particles must be removed. The sand fraction of the aggregate often grades too coarse and dirty, and in some cases is not suitable for any granular use.

Selected Resource Area 5, including both the esker and ice-contact portions, occupies a total of 390 acres (158 ha). Approximately 375 acres (152 ha) are presently available for extraction. Assuming an average deposit thickness of 15 feet (5 m) throughout all parts of the Resource Area, presently available resources of sand and gravel are estimated to be 14 million tons (13 million tonnes).

The Resource Area is accessible by road and is well situated to supply local needs for Fenelon Falls and for the northern parts of the township.

SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS OF SECONDARY SIGNIFICANCE

The ice-contact stratified drift deposits flanking the esker ridge that forms Resource Areas 2a and 2b have been selected as Sand and Gravel Resource Areas of Secondary Significance. These deposits contain small resources of sand and gravel. Parts of the deposits are presently under licence at Pit Nos. 7, 12 and 13.

An esker and kame complex located between the western boundary of the township and South Bay has also been selected. The deposit is the eastern extension of a Resource Area of Secondary Significance in Eldon Township. Resource protection measures should be considered for this deposit, especially the esker segment.

Lastly, the large, ice-contact stratified drift deposit in the southwestern portion of the township has been selected as a Sand and Gravel Resource Area of Secondary Significance. This deposit may be genetically and texturally related to the ice-contact deposits in Resource Areas 3 and 4. Detailed investigation may reveal greater thickness values than are shown on Map 1.

BEDROCK GEOLOGY

Fenelon Township is underlain by Ordovician limestones and shales of the Bobcaygeon, Verulam and Lindsay Formations.

Rocks of the Bobcaygeon Formation underlie several small areas in the northern portion of the township as well as parts of the Village of Fenelon Falls. The formation is composed of three members. The Lower Member, shown separately on Map 3, consists of grey, fine-grained limestone about 25 to 30 feet (8 to 9 m) thick (Liberty 1969). It is found in the extreme northeastern section of the township, north of the Rosedale River. The Middle and Upper Members of the Bobcaygeon Formation have been shown as one unit on Map 3. These two members consist of 20 feet (6 m) of grey, very fine-grained limestone and 34 feet (10 m) of medium-grained limestone, respectively (Liberty 1969). The Lower Member is chemically pure and has been extracted for the production of lime at the Coboconk quarries (Liberty 1969). The Middle and Upper Members are hard and compact and are erosion and abrasion resistant. They have been extracted throughout the region for road-building and construction aggregate (Dolar-Mantuani 1975). Two areas of the Bobcaygeon Formation in the extreme northwestern and northeastern portions of Fenelon Township have been selected for possible resource protection.

The Bobcaygeon Formation is overlain to the south by the younger Verulam Formation. This formation underlies most of the remainder of the township, except for small areas in the southeast and southwest. The Verulam Formation consists of fossiliferous, pure to impure limestone with interbedded shale (Liberty 1969). Although quarried elsewhere for the production of lime for cement manufacture, the Verulam is generally not suitable for the produc-

tion of road-building and construction aggregates because of its low resistance to erosion and abrasion. Drift cover over the Verulam Formation is generally less than 25 feet (8 m) and there are occasional outcrops, especially in the northern portions of the township. Quarries to produce lime might be established at numerous locations in the township, although extensive areas of poorly drained, marshy terrain might hinder quarry development. Since the Verulam has low potential for the production of road-building and construction aggregates, no areas have been selected for possible resource protection.

The Verulam Formation is overlain to the south by the younger Lindsay Formation. The Lindsay consists of fine-crystalline, shaly limestone that characteristically weathers to nodular rubble in outcrops (Dolar-Mantuani 1975; Liberty 1969). It is similar in character and use suitability to the Verulam Formation. It is extensively quarried along the Lake Ontario shore, east of Toronto to produce lime for cement manufacture. Poor erosion and abrasion resistance make it generally unsuitable for road-building or construction aggregate. The Lindsay Formation is covered by thin drift throughout its area of occurrence in the township. Quarries to produce lime might be established in several places. Since the Lindsay Formation has low potential for load-bearing aggregate, no areas have been selected for resource protection.

SELECTED BEDROCK RESOURCE AREAS

An inventory of the Bobcaygeon, Verulam and Lindsay Formations in Fenelon Township indicates a total extent of approximately 48,500 acres (19 600 ha) overlain by less than 50 feet (15 m) of drift. The original tonnage is estimated to be 10,400 million tons (9400 million tonnes) (Table 4). Quarries to produce lime might be established at numerous places within the township. However, with the exception of the Bobcaygeon Formation, most of the bedrock material is unacceptable as a source of crushed stone for the production of road-building and construction aggregates. Resource areas of the Bobcaygeon Formation are discussed below.

SELECTED BEDROCK RESOURCE AREA 1a AND 1b

Several areas of the Bobcaygeon Formation in Fenelon Township have been selected as Bedrock

Resource Areas of Primary Significance. All of these areas are included in Selected Bedrock Resource Areas 1a and 1b. Area 1a comprises the Upper and Middle Members in the extreme northwest corner of the township. Area 1b comprises the Upper and Lower Members in the northeast corner.

The total extent of the Areas is 2850 acres (1150 ha). There are no quarries within the Areas, and there is no history of previous extraction in the township. An estimated 420 acres (170 ha) are sterilized by the presence of roads, houses and other cultural features, leaving 2450 acres (990 ha) available for possible extractive development. Cultural constraints near Rosedale render much of Resource Area 1b unavailable for extraction. In addition, extensive poorly drained land in both Resource Areas may further reduce the available acreage. Assuming a workable thickness of 60 feet (18 m) for the Bobcaygeon Formation, total resources of bedrock suitable for road-building and construction uses are estimated to be 530 million tons (480 million tonnes).

SUMMARY

Fenelon Township is an important regional producer in an area generally low in aggregate resources (Lindsay District Office). Four deposits of primary significance and several of secondary significance have been selected in the township. Care should be taken to ensure the continued availability of as much of these Resource Areas as possible.

The township is underlain by three bedrock formations, one of which, the Bobcaygeon Formation, is suitable for road-building and construction aggregates. Most of the Bobcaygeon Formation has been selected for possible resource protection. Extensive poorly drained areas and cultural constraints may reduce much of the total area available for extractive development.

Enquiries regarding the Aggregate Resources Inventory of Fenelon Township should be directed to the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources either at the Lindsay District Office, 322 Kent St. W., Lindsay, Ontario, K9V 4T7 (Tel. (705) 324-6121) or at the Central Region Office, 10670 Yonge St., Richmond Hill, Ontario, L4C 3C9 (Tel. (416) 884-9203).

TABLE 1 | TOTAL SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES, FENELON TOWNSHIP*

1 CLASS NO.	2 DEPOSIT TYPE (See Appendix C)	3 AREAL EXTENT Acres (Hectares)	4 ORIGINAL TONNAGE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
1	G-E	610 (247)	30 (27)
	G-EK	720 (290)	54 (49)
	G-K	235 (95)	12 (11)
2	G-E	255 (103)	11 (10)
	G-IC	305 (123)	15 (14)
	S-IC	315 (128)	13 (12)
	G-K	1310 (530)	52 (47)
	G-LB	28 (11)	1 (1)
	G-OW	175 (71)	9 (8)
	G-E	168 (68)	4 (4)
3	G-IC	1300 (526)	33 (30)
	G-K	355 (144)	9 (8)
	S-LB	84 (34)	2 (2)
	S-OW	480 (194)	10 (9)
	G-IC	64 (26)	1 (1)
4	S-IC	275 (111)	3 (3)

TABLE 1 | TOTAL SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCES, FENELON TOWNSHIP*

1 CLASS NO.	2 DEPOSIT TYPE (See Appendix C)	3 AREAL EXTENT Acres (Hectares)	4 ORIGINAL TONNAGE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
4	G-K	76 (31)	1 (1)
	G-LB	92 (37)	2 (2)
	S-LP	2340 (950)	28 (25)
	S-OW	24 (10)	<1 (<1)
		9200 (3700)	290 (265)

* Identified deposit areas within the Villages of Fenelon Falls and Sturgeon Point are omitted on all tables.

N.B. Minor variations in above table are due to rounding of data.

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, FENELON TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
LICENCED PITS							
1	L4-57	Royal Paving Ltd.	2,3	4	110.0 (44.5)	5-10 (2-3)	60-80
2		Stanley R. Fudge	9	1	15.0 (6.1)	10-15 (3-5)	25-60
3	L4-105	Royal Paving Ltd.	E½ 15	4	94.0 (38.0)	25-35 (8-11)	60-80
4	L4-118	Royal Paving Ltd.	17	5	104.3 (42.2)	20 (6)	50-60
5	L4-114 L4-117	Royal Paving Ltd.	W½ 18, 19	6	200.0 (81.0)	8-15 (2-5)	50-70
6		Royal Paving Ltd.	W½ 18	5	70.0 (28.3)		
7		David R. Simser	E½ 20	3	100.0 (40.5)	20-30 (6-9)	30-50
8	F6-54	Merle and Florence Smitheram	Pt.23, 24	5, 6	46.1 (18.7)	60 (18)	10-20
9	F6-21	W.G. Jackett and Sons Ltd.	Pt.22, 21	7	127.5 (51.6)	60 (18)	30-60
10	F6-22	Ormell Sand and Gravel Ltd.	E½ 21, Pt.22	7	104.0 (42.1)	40 (12)	10-20
11		Ormell Sand and Gravel Ltd.	W½ 21, 22	8	161.0 (65.2)	15-25 (5-8)	30-50
12	F6-77	Milton Peel	N½ 27	7	42.5 (17.2)	10-20 (3-6)	60-80
13	F6-4	Ormell Sand and Gravel Ltd.	Pt.27, Pt.28	7	168.0 (68.0)	15-25 (5-8)	30-60
14		Carl Brentnell	W½ 30	1	43.7 (17.7)	10-20 (3-6)	50-80
15		Township of Fenelon	Pt.E½ 16	4	15.0 (6.1)	15-20 (5-6)	30-50
					1401.1 (567.0)		

TABLE 2 | SAND AND GRAVEL PITS, FENELON TOWNSHIP

1 NO.	2 MTC NO.	3 OWNER/OPERATOR	4 LOT	5 CON.	6 LICENCED AREAS Acres (Hectares)	7 FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)	8 % GRAVEL
UNLICENCED PITS							
16	F-25	J.Oliver	30	7		10-15 (3-5)	40-60
17	F6-114	McKay	32	11		10 (3)	20-40
18		W.G. Jackett	25	10		50 (15)	60-80

TABLE 3 | SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS, FENELON TOWNSHIP

1 DEPOSIT NO.	2 UNLICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	3 CULTURAL SETBACKS Acres (Hectares)	4 EXTRACTED AREA Acres (Hectares)	5 AVAILABLE AREA Acres (Hectares)	6 ESTIMATED DEPOSIT THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	7 AVAILABLE AGGREGATE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
1	176 (71)	50 (20)	0 (0)	126 (51)	20 (6)	6 (5)
2a	68 (28)	20 (8)	0 (0)	48 (19)	20 (6)	2 (2)
2b	155 (63)	20 (8)	0 (0)	135 (55)	15 (5)	5 (4)
3	520 (210)	80 (32)	0 (0)	440 (178)	30 (9)	33 (30)
4	720 (290)	30 (12)	0 (0)	690 (280)	16 (5)	28 (25)
5	390 (158)	15 (6)	0 (0)	375 (152)	15 (5)	14 (13)
	<u>2030</u> (820)	<u>215</u> (87)	<u>0</u> (0)	<u>1810</u> (730)		<u>88</u> (80)

N.B. Minor variations in above table are due to rounding of data.

TABLE 4 | TOTAL IDENTIFIED BEDROCK RESOURCES, FENELON TOWNSHIP

1 DRIFT THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	2 FORMATION	3 WORKABLE THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	4 AREAL EXTENT Acres (Hectares)	5 ORIGINAL TONNAGE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
3-25 (1-8)	Bobcaygeon Lower Member	60 (18)	1120 (455)	242 (220)
0-3 (0-1)	Bobcaygeon Upper and Middle Members	60 (18)	142 (58)	31 (28)
3-25 (1-8)	Bobcaygeon Upper and Middle Members	60 (18)	2370 (960)	510 (465)
25-50 (8-15)	Bobcaygeon Upper and Middle Members	60 (18)	105 (42)	23 (21)
			3750 (1520)	810 (740)
0-3 (0-1)	Verulam	60 (18)	285 (115)	62 (56)
3-25 (1-8)	Verulam	60 (18)	33 500 (13 600)	7200 (6500)
25-50 (8-15)	Verulam	60 (18)	1750 (710)	380 (345)
			35 500 (14 400)	7600 (6900)
0-3 (0-1)	Lindsay	60 (18)	88 (36)	19 (17)
3-25 (1-8)	Lindsay	60 (18)	8100 (3300)	1750 (1590)
25-50 (8-15)	Lindsay	60 (18)	930 (375)	201 (182)
			9100 (3700)	1970 (1790)
			48 500 (19 600)	10 400 (9400)

N.B. Minor variations in above table are due to rounding of data.

TABLE 5 | QUARRIES, FENELON TOWNSHIP

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
NO.	MTC NO.	OWNER/OPERATOR	LOT	CON.	LICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	FACE HEIGHT Feet (Metres)

- NONE -

TABLE 6 | SELECTED BEDROCK RESOURCE AREAS, FENELON TOWNSHIP

1 DEPOSIT NO.	2 DEPTH OF OVERBURDEN Feet (Metres)	3 UNLICENCED AREA Acres (Hectares)	4 CULTURAL SETBACKS Acres (Hectares)	5 EXTRACTED AREA Acres (Hectares)	6 AVAILABLE AREA Acres (Hectares)	7 ESTIMATED DEPOSIT THICKNESS Feet (Metres)	8 AVAILABLE AGGREGATE Millions of Tons (Tonnes)
1a	3-25 (1-8)	1000 (405)	50 (20)	0 (0)	950 (385)	60 (18)	205 (186)
1b	0-50 (0-15)	1870 (760)	370 (150)	0 (0)	1500 (610)	60 (18)	325 (295)
		2850 (1150)	420 (170)	0 (0)	2450 (990)		530 (480)

N.B. Minor variations in above table are due to rounding of data.

REFERENCES

- Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario
1976: Performance Standards for Professional Engineers Advising on and Reporting on Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties; Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario, 11p.
- Chapman, L.J. and Putnam, D.F.
1966: The Physiography of Southern Ontario; Second Edition, Ontario Research Foundation, University of Toronto Press, 386p., 4 maps.
- Deane, R.E.
1950: Pleistocene Geology of the Lake Simcoe District, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 256, 108p., one map, scale 1:126 720.
- Dolar-Mantuani, L.
1975: Petrography and Utilization of Paleozoic Middle Ordovician Carbonate Rocks in Southern Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 42, 59p.
- Gravenor, C.P.
1957: Surficial Geology of the Lindsay-Peterborough Area, Ontario, Victoria, Peterborough, Durham and Northumberland Counties, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 288, 60p., one map, scale 1:250 000.
- Liberty, B.A.
1969: Paleozoic Geology of the Lake Simcoe Area, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 355, 201p., one map, scale 1:253 440.
- Ontario
1979: The Pits and Quarries Control Act, 1971; Statutes of Ontario, 1971, Chapter 96, Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
1977: Ontario Mineral Review 1976-77; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 95p.
- Ontario Interministerial Committee on National Standards and Specifications (Metric Committee)
1975: Metric Practice Guide; 67p.
- Ontario Ministry of Intergovernmental Affairs
1979: Municipal Directory 1979; Queen's Printer for Ontario, 190p.
- Ontario Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs
1974: Municipal Directory 1974; Queen's Printer for Ontario 128p.
1977: Municipal Directory 1977-78; Queen's Printer for Ontario, 177p.
- Robertson, J.A.
1975: Mineral Deposit Studies, Mineral Potential Evaluation and Regional Planning in Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Miscellaneous Paper 61, 42p.

APPENDIX A-SUGGESTED ADDITIONAL READING

- American Geological Institute
1972: Glossary of Geology; Washington, D.C., 858 p.
- Bauer, A. M.
1970: A Guide to Site Development and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Ontario Department of Mines and Northern Affairs, Industrial Mineral Report 33, 62p.
- Cowan, W. R.
1977: Towards the Inventory of Ontario's Mineral Aggregates; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Paper 73, 19p.
- Fairbridge, R.W. (ed.)
1968: The Encyclopedia of Geomorphology; Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences, Vol. III, Reinhold Book Corp., N.Y., 1295p.
- Flint, R.F.
1971: Glacial and Quaternary Geology; John Wiley and Sons Inc., 892p.
- Guillet, G.R.
1967: The Clay Products Industry of Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 22, 206p.
- Hewitt, D.F.
1960: The Limestone Industries of Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 5, 177p.
1964a: Building Stones of Ontario, Part I Introduction; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 14, 43p
1964b: Building Stones of Ontario, Part II Limestone; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 15, 41p
1964c: Building Stones of Ontario, Part III Marble; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 16, 89p.
1964d: Building Stones of Ontario, Part IV Sandstone; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 17, 57p
1972: Paleozoic Geology of Southern Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Geological Report 105, 18p.
- Hewitt, D. F. and Karrow, P. F.
1963: Sand and Gravel in Southern Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 11, 151p.
- Hewitt, D. F. and Vos, M. A.
1970: Urbanization and Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Ontario Department of Mines, Industrial Mineral Report 34, 21p.
- Ontario Mineral Aggregate Working Party
1977: A Policy for Mineral Aggregate Resource Management in Ontario; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 232p.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
1975: Vegetation for the Rehabilitation of Pits and Quarries; Forest Management Branch, Division of Forests, 38p.
- Proctor and Redfern Ltd.
1974: Mineral Aggregate Study, Central Ontario Planning Region; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, over 100p., some coloured maps.
- Proctor and Redfern Ltd., and Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
1975: Mineral Aggregate Study of Part of The Eastern Ontario Region; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, about 200p.
1977: Mineral Aggregate Study and Geological Inventory, Southwestern Region of Ontario; Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, about 200 p., some coloured maps.

APPENDIX B-GLOSSARY

ABRASION RESISTANCE

Tests such as the Los Angeles Abrasion Test are used to measure the ability of aggregate to resist crushing and pulverizing under conditions similar to those encountered in processing and use. Measuring resistance is an important component in the evaluation of the quality and prospective uses of aggregate. Hard, durable material is preferred for road building.

ABSORPTION CAPACITY

Related to the porosity of the rock types of which an aggregate is composed. Porous rocks are subject to disintegration when absorbed liquids freeze and thaw, thus decreasing the strength of the aggregate.

AGGREGATE

Any hard, inert, construction material (sand, gravel, shells, slag, crushed stone or other mineral material) used for mixing in various sized fragments with a cement or bituminous material to form concrete, mortar, etc., or used alone for road building or other construction. Synonyms include mineral aggregate and granular material.

CAMBRIAN

The first Period of the Paleozoic Era, thought to have covered the time between 570 and 500 million years ago; the Cambrian precedes the Ordovician Period.

CLAST

An individual constituent, grain, or fragment of a sediment or rock, produced by the mechanical weathering of a larger rock mass. Synonyms include particle and fragment.

DELETERIOUS LITHOLOGY

A general term used to designate those rock types which are chemically or physically unsuited for use as construction or road-building aggregates. Such lithologies as chert, shale, siltstone, and sandstone may deteriorate rapidly when exposed to traffic and other environmental conditions.

DEVONIAN

A Period of the geological past thought to have covered the span of time between 395 and 345 million years ago, following the Silurian Period. Rocks formed in the Devonian Period are among the youngest found in Ontario.

DIRT

See fines.

DOLOSTONE

A carbonate sedimentary rock consisting chiefly of the mineral dolomite and containing relatively little calcite (dolostone is also known as dolomite).

DRIFT

A general term for all unconsolidated rock debris transported from one place and deposited in another; distinguished from underlying bedrock. In North America, glacial activity has been the dominant mode of transport and deposition of drift. Synonyms include overburden and surficial deposit.

DRUMLIN

A low, smoothly rounded, elongated hill, mound, or ridge composed of glacial materials. These landforms were deposited beneath an advancing ice sheet, and were shaped by its flow.

EOLIAN

Pertaining to the wind, especially with respect to landforms whose constituents were transported and deposited by wind activity. Sand dunes are an example of an eolian landform.

FINES

A general term used to describe the size fraction of an aggregate which passes (is finer than) the No.200 mesh screen (0.074 mm). Also described informally as "dirt", these particles are in the silt- and clay-size range.

GLACIAL LOBE

A tongue-like projection from the margin of the main mass of an ice cap or ice sheet. During the Pleistocene Epoch several lobes of the Laurentide continental ice sheet occupied the Great Lakes basins. These lobes advanced and retreated numerous times during the Pleistocene, producing the complex arrangement of glacial material and landforms found in southern Ontario.

GRADATION

The proportion of material of each particle size, or the frequency distribution of the various sizes which constitute a sediment. The strength, durability, permeability and stability of an aggregate depend to a great extent on its gradation. The size limits for different particles are as follows:

Boulder		>256 mm
Gravel	cobble	64-256 mm
Gravel	pebble	4-64 mm
Gravel	granule	2-4 mm
Sand	coarse	.5-2 mm
	medium	.25-.5 mm
	fine	.074-.25 mm
Silt, clay		<.074 mm

GRANULAR BASE COURSE

Components of the pavement structure of a road, which are placed on the subgrade and are designed to provide strength, stability, and drainage, as well as support for surfacing materials. Several types have been defined: Granular Base Course A consists of crushed and processed aggregate and has relatively stringent quality standards in comparison to Granular Base Course B and C which are usually pit-run or other unprocessed aggregate.

H.L. (HOT-LAID OR ASPHALTIC AGGREGATE)

Bituminous, cemented aggregates used in the construction of pavements either as surface or bearing course (H.L. 1, 3, and 4), or as binder course (H.L. 2, 6, and 8) used to bind the surface course to the underlying granular base course.

LITHOLOGY

The description of rocks on the basis of such characteristics as color, structure, mineralogic composition, and grain size. Generally, the description of the physical character of a rock.

MELTWATER CHANNEL

A drainage way, usually terraced, produced by water flowing away from a melting glacier margin.

ORDOVICIAN

An early period of the Paleozoic Era thought to have covered the span of time between 500 and 435 million years ago.

PALEOZOIC ERA

One of the major divisions of the geologic time scale thought to have covered the time between 570 and 230 million years ago. The Paleozoic Era (or Ancient Life Era) is subdivided into six geologic Periods, of which only four (Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian, and Devonian) can be recognized in southern Ontario.

PLEISTOCENE

An Epoch of the recent geological past including the time from approximately 1.8 million years ago to 7000 years ago. Much of the Pleistocene was characterized by extensive glacial activity.

POSSIBLE RESOURCE

Estimates of mineral aggregate material based largely on broad knowledge of the geologic character of the deposit and for which there are few, if any, samples or measurements. The estimates are based on assumed continuity or repetition for which there are reasonable geological indications.

SHALE

A fine-grained, sedimentary rock formed by the consolidation of clay, silt, or mud and characterized by well developed bedding planes, along which the rock breaks readily into thin layers. The term shale is also commonly used for fissile claystone, siltstone, and mudstone.

SILURAIN

An early Period of the Paleozoic Era thought to have covered the time between 435 and 395 million years ago. The Silurian follows the Ordovician Period and precedes the Devonian Period.

SOUNDNESS

The ability of the components of an aggregate to withstand the effects of various weathering

processes and agents. Unsound lithologies are subject to disintegration caused by the expansion of absorbed solutions. This may seriously impair the performance of road-building and construction aggregates.

TILL

Unsorted and unstratified rock debris, deposited directly by glaciers, and ranging in size from clay to large boulders.

WISCONSINAN

Pertaining to the last glacial stage of the Pleistocene Epoch in North America. It began approximately 85,000 years ago and ended approximately 7000 years ago. The glacial deposits and landforms of southern Ontario are predominantly the result of glacial activity during the Wisconsinan Stage.

APPENDIX C-GEOLOGY OF SAND AND GRAVEL DEPOSITS

The type, distribution, and extent of sand and gravel deposits in southern Ontario are the result of extensive glacial and glacially influenced activity in Wisconsinan time during the Pleistocene Epoch, approximately 7000 to 85 000 years ago. The deposit types reflect the different depositional environments that existed during the melting and retreat of the continental ice masses, and they can readily be differentiated on the basis of their morphology, structure, and texture. The deposit types are described below.

GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS

These deposits can be divided into two broad categories: those that were formed in contact with (or in close proximity to) glacial ice, and those that were deposited by meltwaters carrying materials beyond the ice margin.

ICE-CONTACT TERRACES (ICT)

These are glaciofluvial features deposited between the glacial margin and a confining topographic high, such as the side of a valley. The structure of the deposits may be similar to that of outwash deposits, but in most cases the sorting and grading of the material is more variable and the bedding is discontinuous due to extensive slumping. The probability of locating large amounts of crushable aggregate is moderate, and extraction may be expensive due to the variability of the deposits both in terms of quality and grain-size distribution.

KAMES (K)

Kames are defined as mounds of poorly sorted sand and gravel deposited by meltwater in depressions or fissures on the ice surface or at its margin. During glacial retreat, the melting of supporting ice causes collapse of the deposits producing internal structures characterized by bedding discontinuities. The deposits consist mainly of irregularly bedded and cross-bedded, poorly sorted sand and gravel. The present forms of the deposits include single mounds, linear ridges (crevasse fillings) or complex groups of landforms. The latter are occasionally described as "undifferentiated ice-contact stratified drift" (IC) when detailed subsurface information is

unavailable. Since kames commonly contain large amounts of fine-grained material and are characterized by considerable variability, there is generally a low to moderate probability of discovering large amounts of good-quality, crushable aggregate. Extractive problems encountered in these deposits are mainly the excessive variability of the aggregate and the rare presence of excess fines (silt- and clay-sized particles).

ESKERS (E)

Eskers are narrow, sinuous ridges of sand and gravel deposited by meltwaters flowing in tunnels within or at the base of glaciers, or in channels on the ice surface. They vary greatly in size. Many, though not all eskers consist of a central core of poorly sorted and stratified gravel characterized by a wide range in grain size. The core material is often draped on its flanks by better sorted and stratified sand and gravel. The deposits have a high probability of containing a large proportion of crushable aggregate, and since they are generally built above the surrounding ground surface, are convenient extraction sites. For these reasons esker deposits have been traditional aggregate sources throughout southern Ontario, and are significant components of the total resources of many areas.

Some planning constraints and opportunities are inherent in the nature of the deposits. Because of their linear nature, the deposits commonly extend across several property boundaries leading to unorganized extractive development at numerous small pits. On the other hand, because of their form, eskers can be easily and inexpensively extracted and are amenable to rehabilitation and sequential land use.

UNDIFFERENTIATED ICE-CONTACT STRATIFIED DRIFT (IC)

This designation may include deposits from several ice-contact, depositional environments which usually form extensive, complex landforms. It is not feasible to identify individual areas of coarse-grained material within such deposits due to their lack of continuity and grain-size variability. They are given a qualitative

rating based on existing pit and other subsurface data.

OUTWASH (OW)

Outwash deposits consist of sand and gravel laid down by meltwaters beyond the margin of the ice lobes. They occur as sheets or as terraced valley fills (valley trains) and may be very large in extent and thickness. Well developed outwash deposits have good horizontal bedding and are uniform in grain-size distribution. Outwash deposited near the glacier's margin is much more variable in texture and structure. The probability of locating useful crushable aggregates in outwash deposits is moderate to high depending on how much information on size, distribution and thickness is available.

ALLUVIUM (AL)

Alluvium is a general term for clay, silt, sand, gravel, or similar unconsolidated material deposited during postglacial time by a stream as sorted or semi-sorted sediment, on its bed or on its floodplain. The probability of locating large amounts of crushable aggregate in alluvial deposits is low, and it has generally low value due to the presence of excess silt- and clay-sized material. There are no large postglacial alluvium deposits in Ontario.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE DEPOSITS

GLACIOLACUSTRINE BEACH DEPOSITS (LB)

These are relatively narrow, linear features formed by wave action at the shores of glacial lakes that existed at various times during the deglaciation of southern Ontario. Well developed lacustrine beaches are usually less than 20 feet (6 m) thick. The aggregate is well sorted and stratified and sand-sized material commonly predominates. The composition and size distribution of the deposit depends on the nature of the underlying material. The probability of obtaining crushable aggregate is high when the material is developed from coarse-grained materials such as a stony till, and low when developed from fine-grained materials. Beaches are relatively narrow, linear deposits, so that extractive operations are often numerous and extensive.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE DELTAS (LD)

These features were formed where streams or rivers of glacial meltwater flowed into lakes and deposited their suspended sediment. In southern Ontario such deposits tend to consist mainly of sand and abundant silt. However, in near-ice and ice-contact positions, coarse material may be present. Although deltaic deposits may be large, the probability of obtaining coarse material is generally low.

GLACIOLACUSTRINE PLAIN (LP)

The nearly level surface marking the floor of an extinct glacial lake. The sediments which form the plain are predominantly fine to medium sand, silt, and clay, and were deposited in relatively deep water. Lacustrine deposits are generally of low value as aggregate sources due to their fine grain size and lack of crushable material. In some aggregate-poor areas, lacustrine deposits may constitute valuable sources of fill and some granular base course aggregate.

GLACIAL DEPOSITS

END MORAINES (EM)

These are belts of glacial drift deposited at, and parallel to, glacier margins. They commonly consist of ice-contact stratified drift and in such instances are usually called kame moraines. Kame moraines commonly result from deposition between two glacial lobes (interlobate moraines). The probability of locating aggregates within such features is moderate to low. Exploration and development costs are high. Moraines may be very large and contain vast aggregate resources, but the location of the best resource areas within the moraine is usually poorly defined.

EOLIAN DEPOSITS

WINDBLOWN FORMS (WD)

Windblown deposits are those formed by the transport and deposition of sand by winds. The form of the deposits ranges from extensive, thin layers to well developed linear and crescentic ridges known as dunes. Most windblown deposits in southern Ontario are derived from,

and deposited on , pre-existing lacustrine sand plain deposits. Windblown sediments are almost invariably composed of fine to coarse sand and

are usually well sorted. The probability of locating crushable aggregate in windblown deposits is very low.

APPENDIX D-GEOLOGY OF BEDROCK DEPOSITS




Figure 2 - Bedrock Geology of Southern Ontario

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR CRUSHED STONE PRODUCTS

BASS ISLANDS FORMATION (UPPER SILURIAN)

(Includes the Bertie Formation of the Niagara Peninsula) Composition: Medium- to massive-bedded, aphanitic, brown dolomite with shaly partings. Thickness: 35 to 60 feet (11-18m) near Hagersville. Uses: Quarried for crushed stone on the Niagara Peninsula at Fort Erie, Cayuga, Hagersville, and Dunville. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 17-35% loss; Absorption: 1.4%. Shaly parts are unsuitable for aggregate due to high soundness losses.

BOBCAYGEON FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Compact, homogeneous, medium-

to thin-bedded, fine-grained limestone with some argillaceous and shaly partings. Thickness: The lower unit is 40 to 72 feet (12 to 22 m) thick in the east and the remainder of the formation is 40 feet (12 m). Uses: Quarried at Kirkfield and Marysville for crushed stone. The Bobcaygeon Formation has consistently acceptable quality for granular base course materials and concrete.

BOIS BLANC FORMATION (LOWER-MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Brownish grey, medium-crystalline, medium- to thin-bedded, cherty limestone, commonly fossiliferous. Limestone may be silty or sandy in places. Thickness: 9 to 200 feet (3 to 61 m). Uses: Quarried at Hagersville, Cayuga, and Port Colborne for crushed stone. High chert content makes much of the material unsuitable for concrete aggregate. Los Angeles Abrasion

Test: 14-28% loss; Soundness Test: 4-10% loss; Absorption: 0.7-2.0%.

DUNDEE FORMATION (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Fine- to medium-crystalline, brownish grey, medium- to thick-bedded, dolomitic limestone with shaly partings, sandy layers, and chert in some areas. Thickness: 60 to 160 feet (18 to 49 m). Uses: Quarried near Port Dover and Pelee Island for crushed stone. Used at St. Marys for portland cement. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 22-32% loss; Absorption: 0-4%.

GULL RIVER FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Member A: thin- to thick-bedded, interbedded, grey argillaceous limestone and buff to green dolostone with a maximum thickness of 60 feet (18 m). Members B and C are dense, aphanitic limestones with argillaceous dolostone interbeds. Uses: Quarried at Kirkfield, Victoria County, and Uthoff for crushed stone. The product is generally fresh and compact with good cubic-shaped factor, low clay content, low absorption, and low soundness losses. Smooth particle surfaces may cause adhesion problems for asphalt. There is some alkali reactivity in a few of the layers.

LOCKPORT AND AMABEL FORMATIONS (MIDDLE SILURIAN)

Composition: Amabel Formation (Georgetown to the Bruce Peninsula): massive, fine crystalline dolostone, with reef facies dolostone near Georgetown. Lockport Formation (lateral facies equivalent to the Amabel Formation from Georgetown to Niagara Falls): thin- to massive-bedded, fine- to medium-grained dolostone. Thickness: Amabel Formation: maximum observed thickness of 84 feet (26 m). Lockport Formation: up to 130 feet (40 m). Uses: The Lockport and Amabel Formations have been used to produce lime, crushed stone, and building stone throughout their area of occurrence, and are a valuable aggregate resource. Los Angeles Abrasion Test: 21-35% loss; Soundness Test: 2.0% loss; Absorption: 0.4-1.6%.

ONONDAGA FORMATION (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

(Equivalent to the Detroit River Group, with a textural change) Composition: Edgecliffe Member: medium-bedded, fine- to medium-grained,

dark grey cherty limestone with an estimated thickness of 25 to 30 feet (8 to 9 m). Clarence Member: massive-bedded, dark grey brown, fine-grained, very cherty limestone having an estimated thickness of 26 feet (8 m). Moorehouse Member: medium-bedded, dark grey brown or purplish brown, fine- to coarse-grained, variably cherty limestone with an estimated thickness of 15 to 25 feet (5 to 8 m). Uses: Quarried for crushed stone on the Niagara Peninsula at Welland and Port Colborne. High chert content makes much of the material unsuitable for concrete aggregate.

OTTAWA FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Lower Phase (Lowville and Pamela Beds): shale, some sandstone and dolostone. Thickness: 100 feet (30 m). Middle Phase (Hull, Rockland, and Leray Beds): pure, thick-bedded, crystalline limestone. Thickness: 150 feet (46 m) near Ottawa. Upper Phase (Cobourg and Sherman Fall Beds): pure and impure crystalline limestone with few to numerous shaly partings, 450 to 475 feet (137 to 145 m) thick near Ottawa. Uses: The Leray, Rockland, and Hull Beds have been quarried extensively for crushed stone and for building stone. In addition, the Hull Beds are an excellent source of lime for cement production and agricultural uses.

OXFORD FORMATION (LOWER ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Medium- to thick-bedded, grey dolostone, with some shaly partings. Thickness: 240 feet (73 m). Uses: Quarried for crushed stone (road and concrete aggregate) at Ottawa, Brockville, and Smiths Falls.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR LIME PRODUCTION AND OTHER CHEMICAL USES.

DETROIT RIVER GROUP (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

(Equivalent to the Onondaga Formation in the Niagara Peninsula, with a textural change). Composition: Near Beachville, the group consists of medium- to micro-crystalline, medium-bedded, high purity limestone. It grades northwards near St. Marys to soft, evenly bedded, fine-grained dolostone with bituminous laminae. Massive, porous, reef facies material also occurs to the north (Formosa Reef Limestone). Thickness: 100 feet (30 m) at Beachville, 350 feet (107 m) at Clinton. Uses: The most important

source of high purity limestone in Ontario is the Lucas Formation of the Detroit River Group at Beachville. Detroit River limestone produces 80% of Ontario's cement. Its dolomitic reefal facies is also important for lime production to the north. It is generally unsuitable for crushed stone. The Anderdon Member of the Lucas Formation is quarried at Amherstburg for crushed stone.

GRENVILLE MARBLE (PRECAMBRIAN)

Composition: Recrystallized white limestone and dolostone, fine- to coarse-grained, usually of high chemical purity. Uses: Lime production, but also in small amounts for terrazo chips, poultry grit, decorative stone, and building stone.

GUELPH FORMATION (MIDDLE SILURIAN)

Composition: Aphanitic- to medium-crystalline, thick-bedded, soft, porous dolostone, characterized in places by extensive vuggy, porous reefal facies dolostone of high chemical purity. The Guelph Formation and the underlying Amabel Formation have a combined thickness of 200 feet (61 m) on the Niagara Peninsula and more than 400 feet (122 m) on the Bruce Peninsula. Uses: The main use is for dolomitic lime in the construction industry. It is quarried at Glen Christie and Guelph.

LINDSAY FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Lower Member: fine-crystalline, rubbly, nodular, weathering limestone. Upper Member: grey calcareous claystone with shaly partings and bioclastic layers. The rock is "soft" and weathers to rubble. Both members are characterized by low dolomite content and by numerous clayey partings. Uses: Quarried at Picton, Ogden Point, Colborne, and Bowmanville for lime. It is generally unsuitable for crushed stone, concrete aggregate, or granular base course.

VERULAM FORMATION (MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Fossiliferous, pure to argillaceous limestone and interbedded calcareous shale. The rock is not resistant to erosion and commonly weathers to rubble. Thickness: 200-300 feet (61-91 m). Uses: Quarried at Picton, Ogden Point, and Mara Township for lime. It is unsuitable for crushed stone due to clay impurities

and many clayey interbeds, low abrasion resistance, high soundness losses and poor freeze-thaw resistance.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR BRICK AND TILE MANUFACTURE

GEORGIAN BAY FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

(Formerly known as the Meaford-Dundas and Blue Mountain shales in the Toronto and Bruce Peninsula areas). Composition: Soft, fissile, blue grey shale with limey or sandy lenses in a few places. Thickness: 640 feet (195 m) at Toronto. Uses: Five producers in Metro Toronto and Cooksville produce brick and structural tile (Guillet 1967). Lightweight aggregate is also produced at Streetsville by heat expansion of the shale.

HAMILTON GROUP (MIDDLE DEVONIAN)

Composition: Grey shale with interbeds of crystalline and cherty limestone. The Group has six formations. Only the Arkona is of commercial value. It is a soft, light grey, calcareous shale which is plastic and easily worked when wet. Thickness: 80 to 300 feet (24 to 91 m). Uses: The Arkona Formation is extracted at Thedford and near Arkona for production of drainage tile and brick.

QUEENSTON FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

Composition: Red, thin- to thick-bedded, sandy to argillaceous shale with green mottling and banding. Thickness: 400 to 500 feet (122 to 152 m). Uses: There are 12 large shale quarries developed in the Queenston Formation in the Toronto-Hamilton region and one at Russell, near Ottawa (Guillet 1967). All produce brick for construction. The Queenston Formation is the most important source material for brick manufacture in the Province.

BEDROCK SUITABLE FOR OTHER INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS

NEPEAN (POTSDAM) FORMATION (CAMBRO-ORDOVICIAN)


Composition: Creamy, coarse-grained, silica sandstone. Uses: Quarried throughout its area of outcrop for building stone, decorative stone, abrasives, and for glass making.


SALINA FORMATION (UPPER SILURIAN)

Composition: Grey and red shale, brown dolomite, and, in places, salt, anhydrite, and gypsum. The formation consists predominantly of evaporite deposits with up to eight members identified. Uses: Gypsum is mined at Hagersville, Caledonia, and Drumbo. Salt is mined at Goderich and is produced from brine wells at Amherstburg, Windsor, and Sarnia.

WHITBY FORMATION (UPPER ORDOVICIAN)

(Formerly known as Collingwood Shale near Toronto). Composition: Brown to black fissile shale. Uses: Quarried at Bowmanville for use in cement production. Testing indicates that the Whitby Formation may produce satisfactory lightweight expanded aggregate.





Ministry of
Natural
Resources

Hon. James A.C. Auld
Minister

Dr. J. K. Reynolds
Deputy Minister


Ontario
ONTARIO GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
AGGREGATE RESOURCES INVENTORY

FENELON TOWNSHIP

VICTORIA COUNTY

MAP 1 DISTRIBUTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL DEPOSITS

Scale: 1:50,000




NTS Reference: 31 D/7, 31 D/10

© OMNR-OGS 1980



SYMBOLS

Thickness Class





Ministry of
Natural
Resources

Hon. James A.C. Auld
Minister

Dr. J.K. Reynolds
Deputy Minister


ONTARIO GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
AGGREGATE RESOURCES INVENTORY

FENELON TOWNSHIP

VICTORIA COUNTY

MAP 3
BEDROCK RESOURCES

Scale: 1:50,000



NTS Reference: 31 D/7, 31 D/10


© OMNR-OGS 1980




LEGEND

PALEOZOIC

ORDOVICIAN





SYMBOLS

- Geological and aggregate thickness boundary. Shading indicates deposit area.
- Deposit symbol: see below.
- Licenced property boundary; Property number: see Table 2.
- Unlicenced sand or gravel pit; Property number: See Table 2.
- Selected drilling location indicating thickness of overburden overlying reported thickness of granular materials (in feet). (Note: S-sand, G-gravel, C-clay, T-till)
- Municipal boundary.

DEPOSIT SYMBOL

Deposits are identified by gravel content, thickness class, deposit type and quality indicators. Gravel content is expressed as percentage of crushable material. Classes are based on potential aggregate tonnage per acre and are designated numerically. Type refers to geologic origin. Quality modifiers indicate variations in grain size distribution and lithology.

Gravel Content	Thickness Class	Geological Type
		Quality Indicators

Gravel Content

- G Greater than 35% gravel.
- S Less than 35% gravel.
- ? Requires investigation

Thickness Class

Class	Average Thickness in feet (metres)	Tons per acre (Tonnes per hectare)
1	greater than 20 (> 6)	greater than 50,000 (> 18 500)
2	10-20 (3-6)	25,000-50,000 (9 000-18 500)
3	5-10 (1.5-3)	12,500-25,000 (4 500-9 000)
4	less than 5 (< 1.5)	less than 12,500 (< 4 500)

Geological Type

IC	Undifferentiated Ice-Contact Stratified Drift	OW	Outwash
ICT	Ice-Contact Terrace	LB	Lacustrine Beach
K	Kame	LP	Lacustrine Plain
E	Esker	LD	Lacustrine Delta
EM	End Moraine	AL	Older Alluvium
		WD	Windblown Forms

(see Appendix C for descriptions of Geological Types.)

Quality Indicators

- C If blank, no known limitations present
- L Clay and/or silt (fines) present in objectionable quantities
- O Deleterious lithologies present
- O Oversize particles or fragments present in objectionable quantities

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Base map by Surveys and Mapping Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
 Licence data from District and Regional Offices, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
 Aggregate suitability data from the Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
 Selected drilling data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and the Petroleum Resources Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.


Geology by: C.P. Gravenor, 1957
 B.A. Liberty, 1969

Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office.

Issued 1980

This map is published with the permission of E.G. Pye, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.

This map is to accompany O.G.S. Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 26.





Ministry of
Natural
Resources

Hon. James A.C. Auld
Minister

Dr. J. K. Reynolds
Deputy Minister

Ontario


ONTARIO GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
AGGREGATE RESOURCES INVENTORY

FENELON TOWNSHIP

VICTORIA COUNTY

MAP 2 SELECTED SAND AND GRAVEL RESOURCE AREAS

Scale: 1:50,000



NTS Reference: 31 D/7, 31 D/10

© OMNR-OGS 1980



SYMBOLS

(Some symbols may not apply to this map)



Geological and aggregate thickness boundary.





LEGEND

PALEOZOIC

ORDOVICIAN










LINDSAY FORMATION
Limestone

VERULAM FORMATION
Limestone

BOBCAYGEON FORMATION
Limestone

SYMBOLS

(Some symbols may not apply to this map.)

-  Geological boundary.
-  25 Drift thickness contour line (25 foot (8 m) interval).
-  x Isolated bedrock outcrop.
-  Bedrock within 3 feet (1 m) of surface: see Table 4.
-  Bedrock covered by 3 to 25 feet (1 m to 8 m) of overburden: see Table 4.
-  Bedrock covered by 25 to 50 feet (8 m to 15 m) of overburden: see Table 4.
-  1 Selected bedrock resource area; Deposit number: see Table 6.
-  Q3 Licenced quarry boundary; Property number: See Table 5.
-  Q4 Unlicenced quarry; Property number; See Table 5.
-  27 Selected drilling location indicating reported depth to bedrock (in feet).


SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Base map by Surveys and Mapping Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
Licence data from District and Regional Offices, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
Aggregate suitability data from the Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
Selected drilling data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and the Petroleum Resources Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Geology by C.P. Gravenor, 1957

B.A. Liberty, 1969

Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office.





- 4 Unlicensed sand or gravel pit; Property number: See Table 2.
- 6/25 Selected drilling location indicating thickness of overburden overlying reported thickness of granular materials (in feet). (Note: S-sand, G-gravel, C-clay, T-till)
- Municipal boundary.

DEPOSIT SYMBOL

Deposits are identified by gravel content, thickness class, deposit type and quality indicators. Gravel content is expressed as percentage of crushable material. Classes are based on potential aggregate tonnage per acre and are designated numerically. Type refers to geologic origin. Quality modifiers indicate variations in grain size distribution and lithology.

Gravel Content	Thickness Class	Geological Type
		Quality Indicators

Gravel Content

- G Greater than 35% gravel.
- S Less than 35% gravel.
- ? Requires investigation

Geological Type

- | | | | |
|-----|---|----|------------------|
| IC | Undifferentiated Ice-Contact Stratified Drift | OW | Outwash |
| ICT | Ice-Contact Terrace | LB | Lacustrine Beach |
| K | Kame | LP | Lacustrine Plain |
| E | Esker | LD | Lacustrine Delta |
| EM | End Moraine | AL | Older Alluvium |
| | | WD | Windblown Forms |
- (see Appendix C for descriptions of Geological Types.)

Quality Indicators

- C If blank, no known limitations present
- L Clay and/or silt (fines) present in objectionable quantities
- O Deleterious lithologies present
- O Oversize particles or fragments present in objectionable quantities

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Base map by Surveys and Mapping Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
Licence data from District and Regional Offices, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
Aggregate suitability data from the Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
Selected drilling data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and the Petroleum Resources Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Geology by: C.P. Gravenor, 1957
B.A. Liberty, 1969

Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office.

Issued 1980

This map is published with the permission of E.G. Pye, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.

This map is to accompany O.G.S. Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 26.





SYMBOLS

(Some symbols may not apply to this map)

-  Geological and aggregate thickness boundary.
-  Selected sand and gravel resource area; Primary significance; Deposit number: see Table 3.
-  Selected sand and gravel resource area; Secondary significance.
-  Selected sand and gravel resource area; Tertiary significance.
-  Licenced property boundary; Property number: see Table 2.
-  Unlicenced sand or gravel pit; Property number: See Table 2.
-  Municipal boundary.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Base map by Surveys and Mapping Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Licence data from District and Regional Offices, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Aggregate suitability data from the Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

Selected drilling data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and the Petroleum Resources Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Geology by: C.P. Gravenor, 1957


B.A. Liberty, 1969

Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office.

Issued 1980

This map is published with the permission of E.G. Pye, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.

This map is to accompany O.G.S. Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 26.





FENELON

SYMBOLS

(Some symbols may not apply to this map.)

-  Geological boundary.
-  Drift thickness contour line (25 foot (8 m) interval).
-  Isolated bedrock outcrop.
-  Bedrock within 3 feet (1 m) of surface: see Table 4.
-  Bedrock covered by 3 to 25 feet (1 m to 8 m) of overburden: see Table 4.
-  Bedrock covered by 25 to 50 feet (8 m to 15 m) of overburden: see Table 4.
-  Selected bedrock resource area; Deposit number: see Table 6.
-  Licenced quarry boundary; Property number: See Table 5.
-  Unlicenced quarry; Property number; See Table 5.
-  Selected drill location indicating reported depth to bedrock (in feet).

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Base map by Surveys and Mapping Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Licence data from District and Regional Offices, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Aggregate suitability data from the Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

Selected drilling data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and the Petroleum Resources Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Geology by C.P. Gravenor, 1957


B.A. Liberty, 1969

Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office.

Issued 1980

This map is published with the permission of E.G. Pye, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.

This map is to accompany O.G.S. Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 26.





FENELON

- Licenced property boundary; Property number: see Table 2.
- Unlicenced sand or gravel pit; Property number: See Table 2.
- Municipal boundary.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Base map by Surveys and Mapping Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
 Licence data from District and Regional Offices, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
 Aggregate suitability data from the Engineering Materials Office, Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
 Selected drilling data from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and the Petroleum Resources Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Geology by: C.P. Gravenor, 1957
 B.A. Liberty, 1969

Compilation and Drafting by: Staff of the Aggregate Assessment Office.

Issued 1980

This map is published with the permission of E.G. Pye, Director, Ontario Geological Survey.
 This map is to accompany O.G.S. Aggregate Resources Inventory Paper 26.